Reactions were run at pressures of 1, 2, and 3 kb at 1000°C, hold 3 hr, cool 3 hr to 700°C, and quenched. The products were washed with CS2 to remove excess S, leaving yellow-orange crystalline material. The Guinier x-ray diffraction patterns of the products of reactions run at 2 kb and 3 kb were similar and could be indexed on the basis of cubic unit cells $a = 9.938 \pm 0.001$ Å in which only 16 of 24 reflections were used. The pattern was completely indexed when a tetragonal cell was used similar to that of β -In₂S₃ in which a tetragonal = a cubic/ $\sqrt{2}$ and c tetragonal = 3a cubic. The refined parameters are $a = 7.026 \pm 0.001$, $c = 29.819 \pm 0.001$ Å. In order to prove that the structure is similar to that of βIn₂S₃, intensities of the powder diffraction pattern were calculated (9) and compared to observed intensities. Intensities were gathered by tracing the peaks of a diffractometer pattern on to Cronaflex® drafting film No. IDF4, cutting out the peaks and weighing them. The diffractometer chart was obtained using a Norelco diffractometer with a bent crystal monochromator and CuKα radiaton. Background was estimated by drawing a smooth curve. For the calculated intensities, position parameters reported for β -In₂S₃ were used (4). No attempt was made to refine the parameters. The R factor defined as $R = |I_{obsd} - I_{calc}|/I_{obsd}$ is 17% which is sufficient to establish the similarity of the structure. The data are shown in Table I.

The product of the reaction run at 1 kb did not show the spinel type phase; thus, the pressure necessary for formation at 1000° C. is somewhere between 1 and 2 kb. A reaction run at 1200° C, 65 kb, 10 min, cool to 1000° C., slow cool 3 hr to 700° C yielded a spinel type phase similar to that prepared at 2 kb. Good crystal growth occurred, and electrical resistivity measurements were made on a crystal. The resistivity showed semiconducting behavior $\rho_{0.298^{\circ}\text{K}} = 1 \times 10^9~\Omega\text{cm}$ with an activation energy $E_a = 0.3~\text{eV}$.

B. MnAl₂S₄

A reaction starting with the elements in the ratio 2AI/Mn/5S at $1000^{\circ}C$, 3 kb held for 5 hr, cool 3 hr to $700^{\circ}C$, and quench yielded a mixture of phases. After washing with CS_2 and 1:1 HCl, orange crystals remained which gave a spinel type powder diffraction pattern $a = 10.052 \pm 0.001$ Å. The best samples of the compound were formed at higher pressure. The reaction of 2AI/Mn/4S at $1200^{\circ}C$, 65 kb, held 1 hr, cool 3 hr to $1000^{\circ}C$, and quench yielded orange and green material. The orange material showed a spinel type diffraction pattern, a = 10.092 Å, while the green material showed the

cubic α-MnS pattern. The density of the crystals was measured by a displacement technique in bromoform. Found 2.95 g/cm³; calculated for MnAl₂S₄: 3.06 g/cm³. It is apparent that the compound tolerates a large degree of nonstoichiometry.

Two reactions run at 30 kb, 1000° C hold 2 hr, quench, and starting with the reagents 0.5 MnS/2Al/3S and 0.25 MnS/2Al/3S yielded nearly homogeneous products. The spinel type unit cell dimensions are $a = 10.050 \pm 0.001$ Å and a = 10.010 Å respectively, again illustrating nonstoichiometry.

Magnetic and electrical measurements were made on a sample prepared at 1000°C and 45 kb, held 2 hr/Q. The unit cell was refined to a=10.052 Å, and a trace of α -MnS was seen in the powder pattern. Resistivity measurements were made on a polycrystalline piece and showed semiconducting behavior $\rho_{298^{\circ}\text{K}} = 1.2 \times 10^{10} \ \Omega\text{cm}$, $E_a = 0.7 \text{ eV}$. The magnetic measurements showed paramagnetic behavior from $77-300^{\circ}\text{K}$, with $C = 16.5 \times 10^{-3}$ emu $^{\circ}\text{K}/\text{gOe}$ and $\theta = -116^{\circ}\text{K}$. Assuming the formula MnAl₂S₄, $\mu_{\text{eff}}^2 = 31.4 \ \mu\text{B}^2/\text{f}$ wt. $\pm 2\mu\text{B}^2/\text{f}$ wt. When μ_{eff}^2 is calculated for MnAl₂S₄ using the formula for electron spin only and assuming high spin Mn²⁺, then it is $35 \ \mu\text{B}^2/\text{f}$ wt. The difference is consistent with the observed nonstoichiometry.

Acknowledgments

Dr. T. A. Bither and Dr. A. W. Sleight are thanked for helpful discussions. Magnetic measurements were made by Mrs. C. G. Frederick. Resistivity measurements were made by Mr. J. L. Gillson. Guinier camera patterns were taken by Miss M. S. Licis. Mr. C. L. Hoover is thanked for supervision of high pressure experiments.

References

- 1. J. FLAHAUT, Ann. Chim. Paris 7, 632 (1952).
- H. Schäfer, G. Schäfer, and A. Weiss, Z. Anorg. Chem. 325, 77 (1963).
- 3. C. J. M. ROOYMANS, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 11, 78 (1959).
- 4. G. A. STEIGMANN, H. H. SUTHERLAND, AND J. GOODYEAR, Acta Cryst. 19, 967 (1965).
- 5. J. FLAHAUT, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 233, 1279 (1951).
- E. C. LLOYD, U. O. HUTTON, AND D. P. JOHNSON, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Std. C63, 59 (1959).
- T. A. BITHER, C. T. PREWITT, J. L. GILLSON, P. E. BIER-STEDT, R. B. FLIPPEN, AND H. S. YOUNG, Solid State Commun. 4, 533 (1966).
- T. A. BITHER, J. L. GILLSON, AND H. S. YOUNG, Inorg. Chem. 5, 1559 (1966).
- W. K. Jeitschko and E. Parthé, Unpublished computer program for calculation of x-ray powder diffraction patterns.